

Q33 Do you have any other comments, questions, or concerns?

Answered: 81 Skipped: 210

#	Responses	Date
1	Having lived in the village for all of my 51 years i have seen massive changes-and not for the better! I am fed up with seeing very large detached houses being built. I am a single person who has had to rent because i can not afford to buy a house in my own village-i do not qualify to be on the council housing list either. Housing needs to be cheaper-smaller houses so that people like me can stay in the village Its not all about attracting people to come and live in very large detached houses but keeping people who have grown up all their life in their own village. feel very much pushed out by people who can afford these very expensive houses! QUESTION 37: Affordable housing needs to be available and not just a small corner of the development. So that village people who have had to move out because they cant afford the housing can be closer to their families Give village people priority to purchase affordable housing.	1/5/2015 12:14 PM
2	QUESTION 37:It is IMPERATIVE that the bypass and other infrastructure is in place BEFORE development goes ahead	1/5/2015 11:39 AM
3	QUESTION 38: This is a small community and should remain that way, the facilities and roads within are not big enough to take any more- keep wanborough as a village	1/5/2015 11:34 AM
4	QUESTION 37: The by pass and other critical infrastructure, such as drainage and flood prevention measures MUST BE IN PLACE BEFORE DEVELOPMENT GOES AHEAD.	1/5/2015 11:25 AM
5	houses should be built for profit and should be sold solely to the purchaser for their use and not to be resold for a number of years-and no rental houses	1/5/2015 11:18 AM
6	QUESTION 38: I would like to bring your attention to the number of cars parked outside the nursing home, especially as some are very nesr the bend in church road. residents in warneage green have to negotiate these when turning right and are obviously on the wrong side of the road. cars, vans and lorries coming round this bend could cause an accident	1/5/2015 9:58 AM
7	THE RECREATION FIELD BEHIND THE VILLAGE SHOULD NOT BE BUILT ON. THIS IS A PRECIOUS GREEN AREA WITHIN THE VILLAGE CENTRE AND SHOULD REMAIN SO. IN THE SAME WAY, THE GREEN AREA NEXT TO THE SCHOOL SHOULD REMAIN GREEN. WE DONT WANT EXISTING GREEN AREAS WITHIN THE SQUARE OF ROADS THAT FORM THE MAIN FRAMEWORK OF THE VILLAGE TO BE BUILT ON.	1/5/2015 6:34 AM
8	MORE BUNGALOWS AND THREE BEDROOM HOUSES	1/5/2015 6:19 AM
9	wanborough and surroundings are abutting an AOB and is a historic village already overdeveloped, given its character as a downland village in a beautiful countryside There is plenty of brown land sites within swindon area and existing development sites(commercial and residential) still yet to be developed out, some of this is already planned for south marston and blundsdon The affect is an obvious boundary to the swindon built up area and plenty of infill potential within and to the west of it	1/5/2015 6:12 AM
10	why dont they complete wichelstowe housing and sell all of that extensive site before considering building on or near wanborough	1/5/2015 5:45 AM
11	QUESTION 37: keep wanborough a village. Buffer needed between new development and village	1/5/2015 5:35 AM
12	Cycle paths you can have high density build without the (townhouses) and 3 storey or more flats. Plus some fish ponds around for drainag, like at wichelstowe and the nice cycle path	1/5/2015 5:09 AM
13	NOT LIKE WICHELSTOWE !	1/5/2015 4:54 AM
14	Concerned that many houses that exist in the village have garages for one or two cars, but do not use them for the car, but use them for other means so roads are used as parking lots. This can be dangerous for pedestrians and other road users. Are there any means of curbing this practices	1/5/2015 4:51 AM
15	Not until we have better roads to take all the increase traffic that will come with more houses	1/5/2015 4:33 AM
16	Not until we have better roads to take all the increase traffic that will come with more houses	1/5/2015 4:31 AM

17	I feel that there should be starter hoes and retirement homes, giving priority to people already in or from the village. QUESTION 37: Any development must have proper roads for access to and from the sites. This should take into account number of houses, sensible routes to and from the sites and the least disruption to existing areas such as Wanborough. This must be planned before any development is finally agreed.	1/5/2015 4:22 AM
18	There should be no development at Redlands other than a small hamlet of houses	12/16/2014 3:31 AM
19	bungalows for private purchase	12/16/2014 3:26 AM
20	Please stop building large housing estates in the village, there have been enough and it is starting to spoil our village, we only need one or two houses on plots around the village that enhance the character of the village. Hewers Close is within the main part of the village and is not in keeping with the village which is such a shame	12/16/2014 3:12 AM
21	Wanborough is an old and established village. Some infill areas in the village have limited architectural merit. Why not compare with other towns/villages in the UK and be influenced by the best and most fitting - bearing in mind the rural aspect of the village. Learn from mistakes made in some Swindon areas. And, listen to local options and 'fears'.	12/15/2014 3:49 PM
22	IF there is to be any large development then it should not be overcrowded with lenty of space for reasonable parking. Garages, if built should be adjacent to the houses.	12/15/2014 4:02 AM
23	Housing should be suitably spaced even if built in small high density block. Current styles around swindon are crammed in and do not provide a nice living environment	12/15/2014 2:55 AM
24	Contact house was built behind our property with no thought to our concerns or feelings now we look out of our back door and all we see is the side of a house which blocks the sun from our garden for half the day . More care should be given to the concerns of residents rather than pandering to the building contractors.	12/14/2014 12:55 PM
25	The housing boundary, and the line of non-coalescence both seem to be largely arbitrary. Additionally, there is no consistency with the acceptance and the rejection of planning permission applications. There is a development behind my house that has been built, despite being outside of the housing boundary, yet other applications with a much stronger argument have been rejected.	12/14/2014 12:35 PM
26	Wanborough is attractive for the way it is, no more housing is needed. Protect what we have otherwise the current identify is lost.	12/14/2014 12:26 PM
27	WANBOROUGH NOT TO HAVE ANY SURROUNDING DEVELOPMENTS.	12/14/2014 9:52 AM
28	The village has had several new developments in the last few years and if our Parish Council continue to actively seek new development it will ruin the village as it will loose more meadow land/open spaces and loose the village feel	12/14/2014 5:09 AM
29	Stop building so many houses in the village, it feels that every small space of land is being built on. no more large developments, just a few odd houses that enhance the village character	12/13/2014 11:16 AM
30	Wanborough should be isolated from new development not integrated into it. There must be a bypass and the present developed boundary maintained to preserve the village as an entity not be swallowed up by new development	12/13/2014 11:11 AM
31	My concerns are that the infrastructure is in place. The roads in and around wanborough need resurfacing and do not seem adequate for increased amount of traffic, also there is likely to be increased demand for water, flooding is also a concern	12/13/2014 11:02 AM
32	We do not need any more large housing estates in Wanborough, too many have already been built and spoilt our village, the worst one so far is Hewer's Close.	12/13/2014 10:55 AM
33	If site previously had a house on it, brownfield, then it should be allowed to be built on	12/13/2014 10:49 AM
34	Larger houses being built but no thought about schools, parking and how the doctors will accommodate all these new people	12/13/2014 9:28 AM
35	Sensible approach required that takes into account good opportunities and can be seen as appropriate when objections are considered	12/12/2014 1:12 AM
36	No 3 story houses	12/10/2014 12:00 PM
37	See previous answers	12/10/2014 1:28 AM

38	We moved to this village(and paid a premium) in the main to avoid living on an estate where houses are crammed in and all look the same, the larger the village gets, the more likely it is to lose it's identity and blend into the town which will be a great shame.	12/9/2014 2:19 PM
39	Wanborough is an attractive village which in general has maintained its character, any new housing should take this into account and not build as many as possible in a small space with no space between houses	12/9/2014 6:22 AM
40	Development at Redlands should be kept to the 50 dwellings as promised by SBC. Please no more large housing estates in the village like Hewer's Close	12/9/2014 5:51 AM
41	No development what so ever. There has been more than enough new homes in Wanborough. We are not Swindon and we pay a heavy premium to live there. Listen to the people for once	12/8/2014 11:52 AM
42	Housing is a better option than aircraft at Redlands Farm and Lotmead. I question the effectiveness of the Parish Council in making Wanborough a better place to live. Start with stopping aircraft noise from Redlands Farm	12/8/2014 11:19 AM
43	There should only be a small number of houses built at Redlands as promised by SBC Councillor at the meeting he said a max of 50	12/8/2014 11:04 AM
44	I don't think it's wise to build retirement housing in a village where there is only one bus per day to the shops- retirement housing is actually better built closer to town centres (old town would be a good example of where retirement housing would work). I think mid range family homes are probably most suitable for a broad range of people - executive homes are overpriced.	12/7/2014 1:02 PM
45	The village does need expansion, but if any is attempted, it should be smaller/cheaper houses which are affordable. Young people who have been brought up in the village,although they would like to stay, they cannot as they are unable to afford the prices of the larger houses. 37. I should like to see the eastern Development postponed until all the other sites such as Wichelstowe, Ridgeway Farm, Tadpole Lane are completely finished. We might find it is not needed. I should also like to see 3 storey houses in a garden type setting, with double garages at ground level, whose use should be compulsory to keep so many parked cars off the streets. 38. Is this Eastern Development really needed? Is there any proof that the houses are actually necessary, and not just for the developers/landowners to make huge profits?	12/5/2014 6:08 AM
46	Enough housing allocated in Local Plan. Single plots in village may be acceptable if of high standard and not backfill.	12/4/2014 11:46 AM
47	Recent builds in Gosling and site behind Stanley Close appear very sterile, I would prefer a mix of more traditional styles	12/4/2014 7:43 AM
48	Q29 & Q30 - As & when development takes place, a mix of all your choices should be made available on the most suitable sites within or just outside the village.	12/3/2014 2:11 PM
49	The housing numbers at Redlands should be kept to the promised 50, as per the meeting when Cllr Heenan made this promise to the Parish. No more large scale developments like Hewers Close	12/2/2014 4:09 AM
50	This is purely for builders commercial gain. It's a lovely place to live and they want to capitalise on this but in doing so will ruin the village and the ecological balance.	12/2/2014 1:24 AM
51	Inadequate park provision leading to on street parking similar to the mess that is North swindon	11/29/2014 2:54 AM
52	i don't understand q31, amenities for retired people would be doctors/shop/recreation ie, bowls club and all 3 are spread around the village? How do you prioritise putting homes near one and not the others?	11/28/2014 2:32 PM
53	I have very strong concerns about the granting of permission, by SB Councillors, against the advice of their Officers, for totally inappropriate development in this Parish, vide: 'St. Katherine's' and now Ducksbridge. The proposed NP must set out very clearly that only small family unit developments are to be built, and these to be within the designated housing envelope	11/28/2014 8:43 AM
54	Develop to achieve a critical mass to retain essential village facilities	11/28/2014 7:34 AM
55	Worth one small shop and one primary school and one doctors surgery, there is insufficient infrastructure to support much more growth	11/26/2014 11:11 AM
56	I prefer a mix of styles in keeping with the village, but not like Wichelstowe because there is insufficient provision for residents' parking on that style of development and the narrow roads just become car parks!	11/26/2014 4:23 AM
57	With the amount of development currently going on around Swindon Town I do not see the need to spread into the Eastern Villages. If there are any new developments then they should provide for ample parking and less density of dwellings than we are currently experiencing.	11/26/2014 3:52 AM

58	The village needs no more large housing estates, we are starting to lose our character, developments like Hewers Close are a disaster. We should only allow small developments of houses that are in keeping with the village character. Also stop building on flood plains.	11/25/2014 8:23 AM
59	I wouldn't like to see construction or developments in the countryside (fields, footpaths, near by...) as I think it would wreck the atmosphere.	11/24/2014 11:25 AM
60	Minimal amount of houses to be built on Redlands site	11/24/2014 8:47 AM
61	Residential development off Church Road would be welcome.	11/24/2014 8:39 AM
62	We must ensure that Wanborough remains a village and that any developments does not put this at risk.	11/24/2014 4:01 AM
63	I would be concerned about developing social housing within the village. I do not believe any further housing outside the current village boundary is required. I strongly support the extension and renovation of existing properties.	11/24/2014 3:18 AM
64	No	11/23/2014 2:59 PM
65	Not too many	11/23/2014 3:41 AM
66	Limit developments	11/23/2014 12:40 AM
67	no	11/22/2014 5:25 AM
68	After living in the village for many years and have friends in the village it would be nice to have some retirement homes so one could stay within the village,	11/22/2014 4:55 AM
69	the size and height of infill development should respect the size and height of existing buildings. The redevelopment of Bells Garage is a gross overdevelopment taking into account the bungalows adjacent and opposite it	11/22/2014 4:27 AM
70	Bright street lighting in new developments is out of keeping with the rest of the village.	11/19/2014 10:18 PM
71	it would be good for people who live here and want to retire and downsize to be able to continue to stay in the village; it would also free up bigger houses for incoming families	11/19/2014 9:51 AM
72	Retirement homes should be appropriate eg wet rooms, wheel chair access, lots of light. All new houses should be required to be Eco friendly eg solar panels, water recycling etc	11/19/2014 2:05 AM
73	The green belt around the village should be maintained to retain its identity. The infrastructure does not support large scale development	11/18/2014 3:31 PM
74	Stop building standard houses, start building houses more in character with the village	11/18/2014 8:46 AM
75	Wanborough does not need any further housing estate houses, we have enough. The odd new house on infill developments that are of a good quality and style to improve the village is fine, please no more Hewer's Close developments they are hideous	11/17/2014 7:41 AM
76	For an number of years the children of the village when they would like to live in the village either to rent or buy the price of houses has been too expensive or the social housing has people allocated housing with no connection with the village, thus meaning that the children has to live elsewhere which means that the village has no contiguity with families which has lived in the village for at least 75 years .	11/17/2014 7:30 AM
77	We have sufficient executive house and social housing. What we need are small family homes to enable young people born in the Village the opportunity to buy their own homes.	11/17/2014 5:50 AM
78	'Executive housing' residents show no interest in the Village. Often include high iron gates which add to the separation between them and the Village which I consider an affront to community. No more oversized, wasteful properties, please.	11/16/2014 5:19 AM
79	With current transport and shopping links retirement housing is not likely to be feasible or sensible within the village	11/15/2014 11:50 AM
80	question 31 is a strange question which suggests the parish council have an area in mind ie to build houses on the present village hall site? With this leading question the PC will be able to reference theresult from q31 as people agree it makes sense the way it is worded. of course retirement homes should be near village amenities eg a shop but should they also be near the doctor's? Maybe a shop and amenities should be part of wherever retirement homes are built? Where else is there potential space near village amenities? Would the PC consider building on land near Hooper's?	11/15/2014 10:48 AM

81	do we really need any more houses??????	11/15/2014 4:41 AM
----	---	--------------------